PREAPPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIE	ΞW	Docket Numb 82771P279	•	
hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with he United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first	Appl	lication No.	Filed	
hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with he United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on July 18, 2007.	09/2	222,340	December 28, 1998	
2010 1700 [01 01 11 110(0)] 011 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	First	Named Invento	or	
Signature	Wil	William F. Terrell		
	Art U	Jnit	Examiner	
yped or printed ame Tu T. Nguyen	214	3	Joseph R. Maniwang	
Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above are being filed with this request. This request is being filed with a Notice of Appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the att NOTE: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.	tached		tion. No amendments	
am the:				
assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under of 37 CFR 3.73(b) is 6 (Form PTO/SB/96)	enclos	ed.		
See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under of 37 CFR 3.73(b) is 6	enclos	ed.		
See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under of 37 CFR 3.73(b) is 6 (Form PTO/SB/96) Attorney or agent of record.	enclos	ed. 		
See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under of 37 CFR 3.73(b) is e (Form PTO/SB/96) Attorney or agent of record. Registration Number 42,034 attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.	enclos	Signatu		
See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under of 37 CFR 3.73(b) is e (Form PTO/SB/96) Attorney or agent of record. Registration Number 42,034 attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.	H	- Vara	Nguyen	
See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under of 37 CFR 3.73(b) is e (Form PTO/SB/96) Attorney or agent of record. Registration Number 42,034 attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.	H	Signatu Thinh V. N Typed or print (714) 557	Nguyen ted name	
See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under of 37 CFR 3.73(b) is e (Form PTO/SB/96) Attorney or agent of record. Registration Number 42,034 attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.	H	Signatu Thinh V. N Typed or print	Nguyen ted name -3800 Number	

forms are submitted.

*Total of

Appl. No. 09/222,340

Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ion. No.

09/222,340

Confirmation No. 3304

William F. Terrell

Filed

12/28/1998

TC/A.U.

Examiner

2143 Joseph R. Maniwang

Docket No.

082771.P279

Customer No.

8791

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Sir:

In response to the Final Office action dated April 19, 2007, Applicant would like to request a pre-appeal panel review of the application.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 2 of this paper.

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-14 and 16-26 are pending in the present application.

This request is in response to the Final Office Action mailed April 19, 2007. In the Final Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-14, 16-25 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a). Applicant respectfully traverses the rejections and submits that the Examiner has not established a prima facie case of obviousness.

Pre-appeal panel review of the application in light of the remarks/arguments made herein is respectfully requested.

There are several clear errors in the Examiner's rejections and arguments.

1. <u>Lakshman merely discloses filter rules, not an admission policy as claimed:</u>

Applicant refers to the response filed January 29, 2007, page 12. Among other things, Applicant submits that the filter merely performs a point-location in a multi-dimensional space. Point-location is not related to differentiated service levels. Furthermore, they are not dynamically created or removed.

2. <u>Barzilai merely discloses a statically compiled packet filter, not a classifier as claimed:</u>

Applicant refers to the response filed January 29, 2007, pages 12-13. Among other things, Applicant submits that the filters are merely used to classify packets based on the RSVP flow which is uniquely identified by the 5-tuple (protocol, src address, src port, dst address, dst port), not having criteria corresponding to an admission policy related to differentiated service levels.

3. <u>Barzilai merely discloses dynamic code generation, not dynamically creating and removing the filters:</u>

Applicant refers to the response filed January 29, 2007, page 13. Among other things, Applicant submits that the code of the packet filter is dynamically compiled, not the filter being dynamically created and removed.

4. Gai merely discloses applying the prescribed policy or service treatments to the given traffic flow, not dynamically creating and removing the filters:

Applicant refers to the response filed January 29, 2007, pages 13-14, supported by arguments presented on pages 7-10. Among other things, Applicant submits that: (1) a policy or service treatments is not equivalent to a filter, (2) "applying" is not the same as "creating" or

"removing", and (3) a "prescribed" policy means that the policy has been fixed and cannot be dynamically created or removed.

5. The Examiner mis-applied official notice in rejecting claims 12 and 26:

Applicant refers to the response filed January 29, 2007, pages 14-15. Among other things, Applicant submits that the Examiner fails to present a technical line of reasoning to show the official notice that controller dynamically removing a filter based on time of day is clear and unmistakable. In addition, Applicant submits that the Examiner mis-read Boon.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the Review Panel render a decision allowing the application.

Conclusion

Applicant respectfully requests the Review Panel render a decision allowing the application.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: July 18, 2007

Thinh V. Nguyen Reg. No. 42,034

Tel.: (714) 557-3800 (Pacific Coast)

12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Seventh Floor Los Angeles, California 90025

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8A)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

MAILING

FACSIMILE

Dedeposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450,

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date: July 18, 2007

Transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office.

Tu Nguyen

July 18, 2007

Date